

REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed April 10, 2007, the Examiner requested that Applicant restrict the claims. The Examiner indicated that claims 1, 2, 5, 25, 26, 54, 55, 74, 75 and 82 were generic claims. Applicant elected, in the Amendment filed on May 8, 2007 to prosecute claims 1-53 and 77-82 that were drawn to a prosthesis with an expandable component and a method of expanding the spherical prosthesis, classified in class 623, subclass 6.64. Applicant also elects to prosecute species 9 that is directed to FIGURE 13. Applicant also elected subspecies b directed to chemical reaction for hardening. Claims 54-76, 81 and 83 were also canceled by Applicant.

The Examiner in the Office Action mailed July 18, 2007 indicated that the Amendment was not fully responsive since Applicant did not indicate all of the claims that were readable on the elected invention. Applicant has reviewed the claims and has indicated that claims 13, 38, 40-45, 48, 49 and 82 should be designated as withdrawn. The remaining pending claims in the application are believed to read on the elected invention.

Respectfully submitted,
FAY SHARPE LLP

By: 

BRIAN E. TURUNG
Reg. No. 35,394
1100 Superior Avenue, 7th Floor
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2579
Telephone: (216) 861-5582
Facsimile: (216) 241-1666

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited
with the United States Postal Service as first class mail.
in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents,
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
on 8-13-07


(SIGNATURE)